### Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

### Agenda Item Memo

DATE: June 4, 2013

SUBJECT: Knife River Habitat Restoration, ML 2012, Ch. 264, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 5(g)

### Background:

The Lake Superior Steelhead Association has requested to add another phase (objective) to the Knife River Habitat Restoration program. The new phase would "entail pinning rocks downstream of the second falls to raise the tailwater elevation and create more optimal pool depths for fish steelhead trout, to ascend the falls during low water periods, enabling fish to reach preferred spawning locations." The DNR is supportive of this additional scope of work.

### **Appropriation Language:**

### (g) Knife River Habitat Restoration

\$380,000 in the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Lake Superior Steelhead Association to restore trout habitat in the Upper Knife River Watershed. A list of proposed restorations must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan. Notwithstanding rules of the commissioner of natural resources, restorations conducted pursuant to this paragraph may be accomplished by excavation.

### **Suggested Motion:**

I move to approve the accomplishment plan amendment request as presented.

### **Suggested Procedure:**

Place the motion before the Council for discussion. Members question project manager as needed. Council votes on accomplishment plan as needed.

## Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

500 Lafayette Road · Saint Paul, Minnesota · 55155-4037 Office of the Commissioner 651-259-5555



May 31, 2013

Mr. Bill Becker, Executive Director Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council 95 State Office Building Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Mr. Becker:

I am writing to you in support of the Lake Superior Steelhead Association's (LSSA) proposed amendment to the Accomplishment Plan under ML 2012, Chapter 264, Article 1, Section 2 Subdivision 5(g) Knife River Habitat Restoration. In general, this grant will improve habitat conditions in the Knife River watershed to foster greater sustainability of both the steelhead and resident brook trout populations.

As you are aware, Fish and Wildlife Division Director Ed Boggess, submitted a Department of Natural Resources (DNR) letter of support on March 15, 2013, to the Council supporting the LSSA's amendment to improve habitat conditions for steelhead passage above the 2<sup>nd</sup> Falls of the Knife River. The letter highlighted:

- The project would raise tail water elevations below the falls to increase the window of opportunity for the passage of larger steelhead trout to upstream spawning habitat during low flow periods,
- Use of pinned rocks downstream of the falls would create more optimal pool depths for ascending the falls, and
- The project would be reversible if invasive species or other aquatic risks are identified above the falls though the course of routine monitoring.

In addition to the benefits referenced above, DNR Fisheries is repairing the Knife River trap that is located downstream of the falls. The trap was damaged in the 2012 flood event that affected parts of Duluth and other North Shore sites. Although the design of the trap is for research and management evaluations of fish in Knife River, it is actively managed by the DNR to allow the passage of steelhead while minimizing upstream movement of Kamloops trout and other unwanted species. A fully operational trap reduces the risk to upstream areas from unwanted species. Routine monitoring upstream of the 2<sup>nd</sup> falls by DNR field crews, as well as angler observations, will result in timely action to limit potentially harmful effects of unwanted species movement.


I appreciate the Council's interest regarding the proposed project and ask your support of this accomplishment plan amendment. I believe the plans for addressing the 2<sup>nd</sup> falls fish passage issue by pinning rocks below the falls will increase the window of opportunity for passing fish during low flows, while at the same time providing the necessary safeguards to minimize any potential long-term risk to the fish resources of the Knife River.

Sincerely,

Ton Landwehr Commissioner

TJL/DRS/EKB/jls

c Mr. Edward K. Boggess, Director, Division of Fish and Wildlife Mr. Dirk L. Peterson, Chief, Section of Fisheries, Division of Fish and Wildlife



### LAKE SUPERIOR STEELHEAD ASSOCIATION

P.O. Box 16034 · Duluth, MN 55816-0034

May 15, 2013

Mr. Bill Becker, Executive Director Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council 95 State Office Building Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155 bill.becker@lshoc.leg.mn

VIA EMAIL

Re: Proposed Amendment to Accomplishment Plan LSSA Knife River Habitat Restoration

Dear Mr. Becker,

The Lake Superior Steelhead Association requests the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council to amend the Accomplishment Plan under ML 2012, Chp. 264, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 5(g) Knife River Habitat Restoration. The Amendment to the Accomplishment Plan proposes to restore the 2<sup>nd</sup> Falls of the Knife River in St. Louis County. This restoration would entail pinning rocks downstream of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Falls to raise the tailwater elevation and create more optimal pool depths for fish, steelhead trout, to ascend the falls during low water periods, enabling the fish to reach preferred spawning locations as outlined in Mr. Ed Boggess's letter dated March 15, 2013.

Please see the attached proposed Amended Accomplishment Plan, Budget, Outcome Tables, Landownership Map and Letter of Support from Ed Boggess, MN DNR Director of Fish and Wildlife.

The proposed Amendment is a partnership with the MN DNR. The MN DNR will be providing the design work and permitting and the LSSA will execute the plan through the Contracts Budget of the Grant. This will not change budget figures as this plan for restoration was originally proposed in the Grant Application and later omitted before the application was approved.

Also attached are photos of the site. First, is a photo of the falls in its original state around 1962. Second is a current picture, 2003, with rebar circled to depict weir placement. Third is a photo of the weir in place from about 1978. These three photos are provided by the LSSA. The fourth photo is provided by Don Schriener, MN DNR French River, depicting placement of where rocks will be pinned.

If you have any questions, concerns or comment, please contact me.

Thank you for your consideration.



# LAKE SUPERIOR STEELHEAD ASSOCIATION

P.O. Box 16034 · Duluth, MN 55816-0034

Sincerely,

Scott Kuiti, VP/Grant Administrator Lake Superior Steelhead Association PO Box 16034 Duluth, MN 55816-0034 218-590-8726 skuiti@hotmail.com

Cc: Heather Koop, Project Analyst Manager, Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council, 100 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr Blvd, 95 State Office Building St. Paul, MN 55155 heather.koop@lshoc.leg.mn

### **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

Laws of Minnesota 2012 Accomplishment Plan

Date:

5/15/13

**Program Title:** 

Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation

Manager's Name:

Scott Kuiti

Title:

Vice President

Organization:

Lake Superior Steelhead Association (LSSA)

Telephone:

(218) 590-8726

Email:

skuiti@hotmail.com

Fax:

n/a

Funds Recommended: \$380,000.00

ML 2012, Ch. 264, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 5(g) Knife River Habitat Restoration \$380,000 in the second year is to the *commissioner* of natural resources for an agreement with the Lake Superior Steelhead Association to restore trout habitat in the Upper Knife River Watershed. A list of proposed restorations must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan. Notwithstanding rules of the commissioner of natural resources, restorations conducted pursuant to this paragraph may be accomplished by excavation.

### Abstract:

Degradation to trout habitat in the upper Knife River Watershed has occurred from past forestry practices resulting in uncontrolled beaver colonization. The result is unfavorable rearing habitat for juvenile trout.

### **Program Narrative**

### **Knife River Watershed**

Situated on the St Louis/Lake County border in NE MN, the Knife River has over 181 miles of stream length within its watershed. The Knife River watershed consists of approximately 54,000 acres, of which 29,000 acres are owned by the State of Minnesota, St. Louis County and Lake County. Approximately 25,000 acres are privately owned and of this privately owned property 6,200 acres, or approximately 25%, are enrolled in stewardship plans. The Knife River has the best and most available steelhead spawning habitat on Minnesota's Northshore.

### **History of the Knife River**

The Knife River once held one of the largest populations of natural reproducing steelhead in the Great Lakes and provided spawning habitat in its upper watershed to thousands of steelhead each spring. Since the late 1970's, the Knife River steelhead population has seen a dramatic decrease. Where thousands of steelhead once traveled upstream to spawn now only three to eight hundred make this

same journey. One of the primary reasons for the decrease in the Knife River's steelhead population is the degradation to the upper Knife River watershed riparian habitat.

The Knife River lacks significant spring-fed flow and is kept cool in the summer by the shade of riparian trees along the stream bank. Without cold water, juvenile trout migrate downstream in search of suitable cold water habitat or perish. According to a DNR fisheries study, the increased water temperature and lack of stream flow causes juvenile steelhead to prematurely migrate to Lake Superior. When these smolts migrate prematurely (before age 2) to Lake Superior they are significantly preyed upon. According to the DNR, 1 out of every 600 juvenile trout that migrate prematurely to Lake Superior return to spawn in the Knife River. In contrast, 1 out of every 10 two-year old smolts (non-early migrants) return from Lake Superior to spawn as adults. This is a primary limiting factor to the recovery of the steelhead population on the Knife River.

### Habitat Degradation and its Results to the Upper Knife River Watershed

The historic forest composition within the Knife River watershed was old growth coniferous trees. Extensive clear-cut logging removed the old growth coniferous trees throughout the Knife River watershed, which were replaced by large stands of second growth aspen. This large-scale forest alteration attracted unprecedented beaver populations to the watershed because of the new food source. Once beavers colonized this area, dams were built blocking the stream flow and flooding the riparian tree cover. The flooded trees and shrubs along the riparian zone quickly died resulting in open water ponds. The impoundment of shallow water and lack of tree cover associated with the beaver pond caused the water temperature to quickly warm and has led to an increase in evaporation. This increase in beaver activity has resulted in 30 plus years of habitat degradation to the upper Knife River watershed.

### DNR Habitat Work and Studies Conducted in the Upper Knife River Watershed

Recognizing the threat to the upper river, the DNR started performing limited stream improvement projects involving the removal of beavers, breeching of beaver dams and limited improvement to fish passageways in the late 1990s. However, the DNR did not have the resources to restore the original fish passageways or the riparian habitat that originally existed prior to the beaver activity. Today hundreds of areas exists within this upper watershed that contain beaver meadows, dead trees, dam remnants, small woody debris, sediment impoundments and collapsed stream banks.

Various DNR studies have determined this habitat degradation to the upper watershed has resulted in poor rearing conditions for juvenile trout in the summer months. These poor rearing conditions (increase in water temperature, increase in evaporation and decrease in stream flows) are the direct result of beaver activity/habitat degradation in the Knife River watershed.

The DNR has conducted an annual aerial survey of the upper Knife River watershed since the mid 1990's to locate beaver dams, which in part has been funded by the LSSA. The data collected from this annual flight is used by the DNR to trap beavers and performed limited beaver dam removal. However, the DNR has not had the funds to rehabilitate the resulting habitat damage caused to the streambed and

adjacent riparian cover. The habitat damage that remains to the watershed is the loss of overhead tree canopy, increased water temperature, siltation of the streambed, debris in the water, stream flow blockage and stream bank erosion.

### **Phase I Stream Restoration**

The LSSA proposes to use the DNR's existing aerial data and beaver dam location maps to locate and assess the beaver impacted areas on the upper Knife River. The LSSA will discuss and rank the locations for rehabilitation. The area of focus will start with the primary spawning tributary in the Knife River watershed, which is the West Branch of the Main Knife River. Only sites on public land will be considered for this project. There will not be any work performed on private land.

A field reconnaissance will be conducted to determine the stream section's condition and to design the rehabilitation project. The preliminary data that will be collected may include:

- Review aerial photo and GIS maps of beaver impacted areas.
- Mark GPS location of habitat degradation.
- Determine proximity to access points.
- Measure the area of impacted stream.
- Survey the depth of sediment deposition.
- Determine length and thickness of remnant dam(s).
- Survey the stream elevations.
- Quantify the amount of large and small woody debris.
- Calculate the percent of shade covering various stream sections.
- Monitor water temperature.
- Document visual evidence of juvenile fish or adult spawning activity.
- Identify collapsed banks or erosion areas.
- Construct cross-section diagrams.

The design parameters will enable us to:

- Remove in-stream beaver dams, collapsed stream banks and woody debris that inhibit fish migration and negatively alter stream flow.
- Restore stream flow.

- Repair or stabilize eroded stream banks.
- Removal of small woody debris.
- Placement of large woody debris.
- Clear impounded siltation from the streambed.
- Planting of trees to restore the overhead canopy.

The project data and design parameters will be incorporated in a project permit and submitted for approval to the DNR and Army Corp. of Engineers. Once the permit(s) are approved, the LSSA will implement restoration on a portion of the beaver meadows identified for restoration.

### **Equipment Usage and Project Site Access**

The goal of this project is to restore beaver impacted areas within the upper Knife River watershed. To accomplish this goal, mechanical equipment will be used in specified areas that have vehicle access. In areas with vehicle access to the watershed, heavy equipment will be mobilized to remove dams, stabilize stream banks, placement of large woody debris and plant mature trees. These areas will be given a high priority because rehabilitating these stream sections can provide an almost immediate benefit to the watershed.

However, the LSSA realizes that many areas we are proposing to restore have no vehicle river access. In these areas, the LSSA will not build temporary roads, import fill or mobilize heavy equipment, but be relegated to using hand equipment for improvement work. The LSSA does not want to cause more damage to the watershed than what we will be restoring. Thus much of our restoration efforts in remote areas will be limited and consist of a reduced scope of work.

### **Tree Planting**

Tree planting will be a critical component of this restoration project. Tree planting will be focused on the riparian area of the stream or watershed. In remote areas of the watershed tree planting may be the only reasonable method of restoration employed due to lack of heavy equipment access. Plantings will vary between coniferous and deciduous trees and shrubs. The proposed species will consist of a various arrangement of bare root, potted and large root bundled trees. Some of the tree species that may be utilized include: white spruce, black spruce, tamarack, red pine, silver maple, red maple, willows and speckled alder. This new riparian zone will ultimately be a mix of fast growing shrubs and smaller tree species intermixed with slower growing larger trees. The planting of shrub species will provide an immediate canopy, while the tree plantings will provide long-term shade and large woody debris.

Phase II Knife River Watershed Black Ash Stand Replacement Planting

Black ash stands currently comprise a large percentage of the riparian forest community in various sections of the Knife River watershed, most notably in the headwaters where young trout rear. The State of Minnesota and the Minnesota DNR expect that all ash stands in the state to eventually

experience high to total mortality due to an infestation of the emerald ash borer. This project aims to attempt to retain shade cover for the upper Knife River watershed by planting additional tree species within the riparian corridor to diversify the forest. Forest comprised primarily of black ash will be targeted for this component of the project.

According to GIS data provided from the Laurentian RC & D, nearly 10 miles of major Knife River tributary riparian forest stands are comprised primarily of ash. This component of the riparian rehabilitation project on the Knife River will target stands located on public land along the West Branch of the Knife River in St Louis County. The proposed plan will plant a wide variety of trees that will be selected for each location based on site conditions. Preemptive understory tree planting is proposed to utilize tree species including tamarack, silver maple, white spruce, white cedar, white pine, red pine, basswood, etc. Additional GIS and onsite survey work will be utilized to select specific ash stands and locations, as well as target additional locations within the watershed for future plantings.

### **Tree Planting**

Tree planting in remote Knife River watershed headwaters will not be easy. As such, a variety of different planting techniques will be attempted during this project. These techniques include planting larger trees, using a variety of bare root, containerized trees and locally harvested trees, using matting to keep weed growth down, using both caging and tree tubes to inhibit browsing. The success of different techniques will be evaluated to aid in planting additional ash stands during future projects.

### **Phase III Second Falls Restoration**

This project phase aims to improve fish passage conditions for adult steelhead to access spawning habitat upstream of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Falls of the Knife River in St. Louis County by raising tailwater elevations below the falls. This project would be designed to increase the window of opportunity for larger steelhead to access upstream areas during spawning runs. Installation of pinned rocks downstream of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Falls would raise tailwater elevations to create more optimal pool depths for ascending the falls during low flow periods of spring runn-off. A project of this nature represents a minimal approach to optimize steelhead trout passage to preferred spawning locations. This project would also be reversible in the future if unintended or undesirable impacts such as passage of invasive species or other aquatic risks are identified through routine monitoring of the system.

### **Planning**

This project has been designed and is consistent with the DNR's Lake Superior Management Plan and the DNR's Rainbow Trout Plan. Both of these DNR management plans place a high priority in habitat conservation and rehabilitation.

### Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds

Clean Water Fund money is being used for the Knife River Watershed's middle sections (clay bank sections). This money is being used to stabilize slumping clay banks as part of the TMDL implementation plan. This money has been provided to the South St. Louis Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD). The LSSA and SWCD are working cooperatively on separate sections of river to insure the entire watershed is improved. The LSSA is primarily working on the upper river spawning and rearing tributaries exclusively on public land, while the SWCD is working on the middle river sections (clay bank section) and concentrating primarily on private lands.

### Relationship to Current Organizational Budget

The LSSA has provided approximately \$750,000 since 1985 to rehabilitate steelhead runs in the Knife River. The LSSA used non-profit gaming (pull tabs) as a revenue source for these Knife River projects. Unfortunately, non-profit gaming revenues are significantly down and the LSSA does not have a revenue stream that can finance this project or other large habitat projects in the foreseeable future.

### Sustainability and Maintenance

A critical component of this project is to insure beaver do not re-impact areas of the West Branch of the Knife River that have been rehabilitated. To insure that the project areas on the West Branch are maintained after the project is complete, annual flights will be conducted to insure beavers do not recolonize this area. These beaver flights will be conducted in late autumn by the DNR as they have been previously for the past 10 to 15 years. If dams or beaver activity is noted in the annual flight, the DNR will contract trappers to remove the beaver. This has also been performed for the past 10-15 years. The estimated cost of the flight and beaver removal throughout the entire Knife River watershed is \$15,000.

If the DNR loses funding for this project, the TMDL implementation plan has budgeted \$35,000 annually for this task. Included in this budget is beaver flights and trapping, but also other tasks not included in the DNR budget. These other tasks are re-planting of trees, beaver dam removal and bank repair. These other tasks may not be necessary to be performed or funded annually, but have been listed in the TMDL plan in the event future maintenance and funding is necessary.

### **Outcomes**

Qualitative outcomes include short, intermediate and long term outcomes.

Short Term Qualitative Outcomes (3 to 5 years)

- Improve in-stream habitat
- Re-establish hydraulic connectivity
- Provide education and awareness to user groups

Intermediate Term Qualitative Outcomes (6 to 10 years)

- Reduce erosion
- Restore overhead tree/shrub canopy

Long Term Qualitative Outcomes (20+ years)

- Increase smolt retention time in the upper watershed (higher percentage of juvenile steelhead smolting at age 2).
- Observe a higher percentage of repeat adult spawners.
- Restore the forest composition to coniferous trees.

### **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity	Milestone	Date completed
Site walk-through/Baseline Data Collection/Prepare Permit Application	Permit Approval	7/1/12 - 12/31/13
In-stream Fieldwork	Dam Removal/Bank Restoration	6/30/13-6/30/15
Site Preparation/Tree Planting	Riparian Zone Replanted	6/30/13-6/30/16
In-stream Fieldwork / 2nd Falls Restoration	Rocks pinned	7/1/13 - 9/30/14

### Table B-2. Other Outcome Table

N/A		,	

Attachments (on spreadsheet workbook – 3 separate tabs):

- A. Budget
- B. Proposed Outcome Tables
- C. Parcel List

No Map is needed for the accomplishment plan

	•	

### Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet

Name of Proposal:	Knife River Habitat Restoration
Date:	6/18/2012

### Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.

**Total Amount of Request** \$ 380,000 From page 1 on the funding form.

### Personnel

	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage		Total
Position breakdown here			Temporal ellip			
Manager of Programs	0.5	4	\$ 40,000			\$ 40,000
Admin Asst		and the same of th				\$ 
position 3				7 1 (41 16) 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$ 0.74
position 4						\$
position 5						\$ - 1
position 6						\$
position 7						\$ •
Tota	d 0.5		\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000

### Budget and Cash Leverage (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.)

Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

Budget Item
Personnel - auto entered from above
Contracts
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 7)
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 7)
Easement Acquisition
Easement Stewardship
Travel (in-state)
Professional Services
Direct Support Services
DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)
Other
Capital Equipment (auto entered from below)
Other Equipment/Tools
Supplies/Materials

LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
\$ 40,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000
\$ 250,000			\$ 250,000
 			\$ 
			\$ •
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$ 
			\$
\$ 5,000			\$ 5,000
\$ 30,000			\$ 30,000
\$ -			\$
			\$
			\$ 55,000
\$ i deligi	\$ -		\$
\$ 15,000			\$ 15,000
\$ 40,000			\$ 40,000
\$ 380,000	\$	\$ 1.5	\$ 380,000

### Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above )

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
Item 2 enter here		
Item 3 enter here		
Item 4 enter here		
Item 5 enter here		
Item 6 enter here		
Item 7 enter here		
Item 8 enter here		
Total		-17

### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

Name of Proposal:	Knife River habitat Restoration
Date:	6/18/2012

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:

Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

### Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore					0.00
Protect Fee		0,16 ( 1111111111111111111111111111111111			0
<b>Protect Easement</b>					0
<b>Protect Other</b>					0
Enhance					204 204
Total		0 1 11	0	0	204

Total Acres (sum of Total column)
Total Acres (sum of Total row)

204 These two cells should 204 be the same figure.

### Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total	
Restore				\$	- \$	-
Protect Fee					\$	-
<b>Protect Easement</b>					\$	_
<b>Protect Other</b>					\$	
Enhance				\$ 38	0,000 \$	380,000
Total	\$	- Ś	- Ś	- \$ 38	0,000	

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)

Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

Check to make sure this amount is the same

\$ 380,000 These two cells should be the same figure.

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

### Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore						0
Protect Fee						0
<b>Protect Easement</b>						0
<b>Protect Other</b>						0
Enhance	0.000				204	204
Total		0	0	0	0 20	4

Total Acres (sum of Total column)
Total Acres (sum of Total row)
Total Acres from Table 1.

204 These three cells 204 should be the same figure. 204

### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore						\$ -
Protect Fee						\$ -
<b>Protect Easement</b>						\$ -
Protect Other						\$ -
Enhance					\$ 380,000	2 \$ 380,000
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$ 380,000	O

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)
Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

\$ 380,000 These two cells should be the same figure.

Check to make sure these amounts are the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

up to 17

# miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

6422		*						10	3					8			٠	ð								T	3	ĕ		V	2	Š	Ø		ä			E	Ô					3					8				3			ä								ĕ		S					•											ľ
T	2	ı	c	Н	e	ł	3	Ŷ	١	ſ	И	3	l	ı	L	S	ľ	Ľ	H	a	1	1	ľ	١	v	ŀ	ŧ.	ľ	Ð	Œ,	ı		Š.	ž	i	ì.	а	1	Ľ	L	L	ς	Š	1	7	9	ì	1	ī	ŧ	۲	g)	ı	۲	ï	1	ø	7	r	r	и	ľ	7	1	ľ	1	)	ı	1	3	ı	r	ı	Ω	ľ	C	ľ	ı	?	5	i.	

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability

Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability

Permanent Easement PILT Liability **NO State** 

NO Stat

Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
				C


Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

FYI: should match total in budget table that is auto

		Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total	entered below
Acquired in Fee with State PILT	Γ Liability		4	10000		\$	\$
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT	Liability				·	\$ -	\$
Permanent Easement PILT Liability	NO State					\$ -	\$ -
		\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

# Attachment C. Parcel List

Name of Proposal:  7/14/2011  Knife River Habitat Restoration  County Township R	Range Direction Section (01 thru 36)	u36) TRDS # of acres	ss Budgetary Description		If Easement,	Any existing	If Easement, Any existing Open to hunting and
(01-51)	_		Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include marching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	PF-Protect Fee PE-Protect Essement PO-Protect Other R-Restore E-Enhance	what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	protection? (yes/no)	fishing? (yes/no)
R11W R11W R11W	portions of Sec 1,4,5,6 Sec 2,3,10,15,16 Sec 22, 23,27,34,35 Sec 31,35,36	2 up to 17 M	204 \$380,000 Streambed and stream bank lles See watershed map	bank E	ם/ע	Yes See Attached DNR easeme link. This link is for referen only and does not represe the exact location of the p because some of the sites in this link may be on priva land. Only Public land will part of the project.	See Attached DNR easements link. This link is for reference only and does not represent the exact location of the project because some of the sites in this link may be on private land. Only Public land will be part of the project.
Incomp	Information provided will be used to map project locations. Incomplete or inaccurate information will result	on will result in that parcel or p	in that parcel or program not being mapped.				



# Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

500 Lafayette Road • St. Paul, MN • 55155-40



March 15, 2013

Mr. Bill Becker, Executive Director Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council 95 State Office Building Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Mr. Becker:

I am writing this letter in support of amending the Accomplishment Plan under ML 2012, Chp. 264, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 5(g) Knife River Habitat Restoration. The current grant to the Lake Superior Steelhead Association is to improve habitat conditions in the Knife River watershed and foster greater sustainability of both the steelhead and resident brook trout populations. This work will benefit habitat for natural reproduction and juvenile rearing of steelhead and survival of resident brook trout. The current Accomplishment Plan includes removal of beaver dams, collapsed stream banks and woody debris that inhibit fish migration and stream flow; repair or stabilization of eroded stream banks; removal of small woody debris; placement of large woody debris; clearing of impounded sediment; and riparian tree planting.

The amendment proposes to improve fish passage conditions for adult steelhead to access spawning habitat upstream of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Falls of the Knife River in St. Louis County by raising tailwater elevations below the falls. The project would be designed to increase the window of opportunity for larger steelhead to access upstream areas during spawning runs. Installation of pinned rocks downstream of the 2<sup>nd</sup>Falls would raise tailwater elevations to create more optimal pool depths for ascending the falls during low flow periods of spring run-off. A project of this nature represents a minimal approach to optimize steelhead trout passage to preferred spawning locations. The project would also be reversible in the future if unintended or undesirable impacts such as passage of invasive species or other aquatic risks are identified through routine monitoring of the system.

If you have questions about this letter of support for a change in the Accomplishment Plan for this project, please contact me. Thank you in advance for the Council's consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Edward K. Bogges, Director Division of Fish and Wildlife

DNR Building – 500 Lafayette Road Saint Paul, Minnesota 55155-4020

651-259-5180

EKB/DS/jls

c Mr. Craig Wilson, President, Lake Superior Steelhead Association, P.O. Box 16034, Duluth, Minnesota 55816-0034



